RECEIVED

RECEIVED DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 25 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

C. Douglas Lee 1145 E. Kleindale Rd. Tucson, AZ 85719 FDF

JAN 2 5 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 21, 1993

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sirs:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplanes could easly be shot out of the sky by a mobile user that I would have no way of knowing about.

I have been involved in the R/C aircraft hobby for seventeen years and own four modern or upgraded(1991) radios and seven model airplanes. I am a competition pilot and my radios and aircraft are high cost items. In addition, I have numerous engines, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these rule changes will affect a large number of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this rule change. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on the 72 and 75 MHz bands available for save use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

DOCKET FILE COPY OFIGINAL [Date] January 21 RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JAN 2 5 1993

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

SAN 25 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATION OFFICE OF THE ST.

Dear Sirs:

FCC MAIL ROOM

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for 30 years. I own 2 radios and 2 model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Mrs. Alborah Reed

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 2 5 1993

[Date]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

WAN 25 1993

Dear Sirs:

FOC MAIL ROOM

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for ________ years. I own _______ radios and ______ model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 25 1993

THERM CHAMPING THE THE PROPERTY OF THE

RECEIVED

JAN 25 1993

Jan. 20, 1993

FOO MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sirs:

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model cars, boats and planes.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models buy keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me, my family and the entire R/C industry. If put into effect, my airplane could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for 7 years. I own 5 radios and 8 model cars, airplanes, and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyist in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

James Owen

11316 Laurel Crest Lane

Tampa, FL 33624

RECEIVED DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 2 5 1993

JAN 25 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

1015 12th Street Hudson WI 54016 FRAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION January 20, 1993 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Re: PR Docket No. 92-235

Gentlemen:

Here are my comments regarding this PRM:

1. As a flyer of R/C Model aircraft I am concerned that the proposed 2.5 KHz adjacent channel allocations may cause interference to the receivers in aircraft in the 72MHz band. (We are currently experiencing occasional loss of control due to adjacent-channel pagers splattering into some of the assigned aircraft control frequencies.)

As you are no doubt aware, such loss of control usually results in several hundred dollars worth of damage to the aircraft AND COULD RESULT IN INJURY TO PERSONS IN THE VICINITY.

Splatter, or off-frequency interference to mobile communcations users is a nuisance, not a disaster as it is with remote control functions.

- 2. I would appreciate if the FCC would make public the technologies that can INSURE lack of co-channel interference for those frequencies being used for remote control applications, OR, would provide frequency assignments for remote control that will definitely and confidently be protected from interference.
- 3. Within paragraph 14 of the PRM it is stated that "a new transmitter and associated hardware and software will be valued at over \$1000.". I hope you realize that this price will effectively eliminate a large share of over one million retired persons and young people who are active in the model aircraft hobby.

Thus it is incumbent upon the FCC to insure that this large number of users will not be disenfranchised from their hobby because of high costs caused by these new regulations.

While I totally endorse implementation of new technologies, these changes MUST be introduced at affordable costs!!

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,

Wm. S. Friedlander

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 2 5 1993

Jan 20, 1993

FCC 1919 M St. NW Washington DC 20554 RECEIVE DERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

JAN 25 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Sir(s),

I have been interested in aviation for many years and I am now active in the Coeur d' Alene Aeromodeling Society whose 122 members enjoy building and flying radio controlled model airplanes. I am very concerned about proposed rules now under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), namely NPRM-PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the useability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band which is primarily used for private land dispatch operations. At this time our assigned frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we are able to share the band without any mutual interference. Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. If this is adopted, many land frequencies will move closer to the model aircraft radio control frequencies and very likely cause interference with control of model aircraft. The proposed plan would effectively reduce good, available aircraft channels from about 50 down to 19.

When we fly our model aircraft under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of operators and spectators and protect property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of radio control frequencies. If the number of useable frequencies is reduced as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model aircraft have wing-spans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 35-40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the aircraft.

We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of the full complement of our assigned radio frequencies in order to insure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. Please consider that we have a substantial investment in our models and our radio equipment, that the hobby provides hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement of the aviation industry. Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my hobby by carefully considering the proposals in NPRM-PR Docket 92-235.

Sincerely,

Name Way to

Address

WAYNE E. KESTER 695 TUDOR COURT

RATHDRUM, ID 83858

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

TELEPHONE 615/624-7616

PRACTICE LIMITED TO
PLASTIC AND RECONSTRUCTIVE SURGERY

DANIEL LABRADOR, JR., M.D., F.A.C.S.

MEMORIAL MEDICAL BUILDING
725 GLENWOOD DRIVE SUITE E-888
CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37404

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

January 22, 1993

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554 JAN 2 5 1993

JAN 2 5 1993

COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Dear Sirs:

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 wil allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, elimination safe use of at least 31 of the 50 chanels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for twenty years. I own ten radios and several model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Daniel Labrador, Jr., M.D.

Lloud

DL:bb



Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JAN 25 1993

Dear Sirs:

DOCKET FILE COPY OR GIVAL 2 5.1993 FEDER & COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for 4 years. I own 3 radios and 4 model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Billy M. Johnson

92-235

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL an Aleceren

The Federal Communication 1919 M. St. N.W. Washington, DC. 20554

JAN 2 5 1993

FFORTAL GOMMUNICATION CONTRACTOR OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

JAN 2 5 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Wear siss:

I have been a model ainslane builden and flyer since 1928. I belong to an action dub with so other members who enjoy build ing and flying model any lance with nadio controls. I also build and nace two clauses of radio control sailboats.

I am concerned by your proposal to change the nade Julquency assignments in the 22-76 1742 band Tellow elab, members and myself have many thousands of dollars worth of equipment That will not work with more sowerful naction transmittens on a freque in a close to ourse the I understand The proposed. The tolerances of the new units would actually allow them to browned ON our frequencies. There is no way we can operate safely under Those conditions, and we cotainly would be able to afford laabite increase under those anditions.

Please don't mast 47 Docket 12-235. le e modelers ned those fuguencies.

Linearly, Fred W. Ownier

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINA RECEIVED

January 14, 1992

Federal Communications Commission 1919 "M" Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

JAN 2 5/1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sirs,

This letter is being written in response to a notice of proposed rule making, NPRM - PR DOCKET 92-235.

I am 41 years old and an active r/c modeler, F.C.C General Class License Holder, and upon receipt of call/license, a Technician class amateur operator. I am also the 1993 President of one of the largest r/c aircraft clubs in Florida, the West Pasco Model Pilots Association in Odessa, Florida. Your proposed addition of land fixed/mobile 1 watt stations between our existing r/c channels will be potentially disastrous both incident wise and financially to model aircraft flyers such as myself!

Our existing equipment is "narrow band" and operating well with 20khz channel spacing which came into play these last few years. Our particular club, chartered under the Academy of Model Aeronautics, is using all model aircraft channels alloted by the F.C.C.. Members, including myself, went to great expense to upgrade their radios. Many had transmitters that could not readily be modified, and these have been "junked" or relegated to "home museum pieces". Receivers were either exchanged by their manufacturers for a price or were also "junked" and replaced with new, after-market approved units.

Active model fields were glad to obtain an increased number of channels which help to relieve the congestion caused by too few frequencies. The thoughts of loosing these or of the F.C.C.'s perception that interference will not occur strikes me as unreal! We already must contend with powerful paging systems existing between several of our new channels. Most of our receivers can function in this environment with the pagers only 10khz away, but operation with unknown locations (ie...portable) of 2.5khz away emissions at power levels exceeding our transmitters is sheer suicide to the flyer!

Models and modelers have "grown up" since the early days of r/c. Construction techniques have changed and aircraft weights may go up to 55lbs depending upon the clubs' chartering organization. A modern aircraft of modest weight, "out of control", is not a pretty thought. I personally fly r/c helicopters. The typical "impact velocity" of the main rotor blades at speed exceed that of a .22 cal bullet fired "point blank"....get the picture?

I have steadily seen this hobby grow in a positive manner. Reliability of radio systems, engines, and construction techniques has improved by quantum leaps since its' inception in the early 1900's. Please don't bring it down by increasing our chances for accidents or forcing us to "huddle together" in an even smaller area of radio spectrum!

Sincerely, Richard D. Merill

Richard L. Merrill 1507 Wildwood Lane Lutz, FL 33549

(812) 020-7386/(800) 237-3273

JAN 2 5 1993

Sample Letter to FCC (from consumer)

Regarding FCC Rule Making

FIDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

[Date]

JAN 25 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY, ORIGINAL

Dear Sirs:

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

I have been involved in this hobby for 25 years. I own 1 radios and 3 model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field accessories and other products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of other R/C hobbyists in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a lot of people economically and in terms of enjoyment.

I urge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Paul Franks

Sample Letter to FCC (from consumer) Regarding FCC Rule Making

[Date]

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission OGKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL 1919 M Street, NW. Washington, DC 20554

JAN 2 5 1993

HEDEHAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sirs:

It has recently come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering an action that will severely limit and potentially eliminate a very important hobby of mine, radio controlled (R/C) model airplanes, helicopters, cars and boats.

Your Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in PR Docket 92-235 replaces Part 90 of your rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band and 10 of the 30 frequencies on the 75 MHz band now used by hobbyists. In fact, more channels will likely be affected.

This action will have a severe, detrimental impact upon me and the entire R/C hobby industry. If put into effect, my airplane or helicopter could easily be shot out of the sky by a mobile user I'd have no way of knowing about. This creates a severe health hazard.

Have been involved in this hobby for https://www.news.com/policy/belleview-cars and boats. In addition, I have numerous engines, motors, chargers, field the standard of the products necessary to support my hobby. When you consider there are hundreds of thousands of the products in the U.S. just like me, these proposed rule changes will affect a log of conomically and in terms of enjoyment.

Lurge you to reconsider this. Keep 10 Khz spacing between all frequencies on 75 MHz and 72 MHz bands available for safe use by R/C enthusiasts. Please don't eliminate this hobby that has grown tremendously over the past 30 years and has so much investment of money and enjoyment of people nationwide.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mike alter hopen